

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/647,457	11/29/2000	Meir Shinitzky	24390	6935
20529	7590 01/24/2005		EXAMINER	
NATH & ASSOCIATES			TURNER, SHARON L	
6TH FLOOR	1030 15th STREET, NW 6TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/647,457	SHINITZKY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharon L. Turner	1647				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE MC . 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON ling date of this communication, even if timely file.	INTH(S) FROM imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
, = .						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·—					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)	rawn from consideration.	nent.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Replacement drawing sheet(s).	ccepted or b) objected to by the decire drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [8] 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/647,457

Art Unit: 1647

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10-6-04 has been entered.
- 2. The amendment filed 8-6-04 has been entered into the record and has been fully considered.
- 3. The text of Title 35 of the U.S. Code not reiterated herein can be found in the previous office action.
- 4. As a result of Applicant's amendment, all rejections not reiterated herein have been withdrawn by the Examiner.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 7-8, 11, and 13-14 are pending.

Election/Restriction

6. Applicant's previous election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-6, 8, 10-11 and 13-14 in part to the extent drawn to the technical feature of SEQ ID NO:2 in Paper No. 10 (4-29-02) is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no appropriate explanation of serious burden. Applicants submit that there is no serious burden because a search of any one of the inventions would require searching areas appropriate to the other inventions and further because Applicants would be forced to pay further fees for search and examination of the additional inventions. This is not

found persuasive because as previously set forth the technical features differ in sequence structure, function, effects and are capable of distinct utilities. There is extensive search burden in examining all the inventions in a single application because the search for any one group is not co-extensive with a search for any other group, in particular the sequence searches are different for each invention. The fee structure has been determined by the Office to be appropriate compensation for the burden of search and examination of alternative inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 7. It is noted for the record that original SEQ ID NO:2 is now SEQ ID NO:3 as amended by the new CRF and Sequence listing, see amendments of 9-16-03 and 1-9-04.
- 8. Newly amended claim 1 is directed to a new genus as defined in claim 1. The new sequence identifier of SEQ ID NO:1 and noted generic sequence of claim 1 as amended 8-6-04 now encompasses (is generic to) elected SEQ ID NO:3. However, the generic sequence is not apparently supported within the specification as originally filed or as amended in the CRF and paper copy of the sequence listing as submitted 9-16-03 and 1-9-04 as the definitions of Xaa residues as set forth in the paper copy of the sequence listing do not correspond to the definition denoted within the claim. Generic SEQ ID NO:1 is newly found to be unpatentable as anticipated by the prior art, see 102 rejections as below. Accordingly, the invention is non-linking to the alternative sequence identifiers and search has not been expanded over SEQ ID NO:1 and 3 as previously and instantly conducted.

Art Unit: 1647

Sequence Compliance

9. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth herein. Applicant must comply with the requirements of the sequence rules (37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825) before the application can be examined under 35 U.S.C. §§ 131 and 132.

In particular, claim 1 denotes particular limitations to the Xaa residues as in SEQ ID NO:1. However, these limitations are not in agreement with the notations within the computer readable format and paper copy of the sequence listing and accordingly the application is not in compliance with the sequence rules.

Correction of the computer readable format and paper copy of the sequence listing is required.

Claim Objections

10. Claims 3, 7-8, 11, 13-14 are objected to as being drawn to non-elected inventions, (as reciting an improper Markush Group and/or inventions that are non-linking). M.P.E.P. 803.02 states that:

"Since the decisions in In re Weber **,198 USPQ 328 (CCPA 1978); and In re Haas, 198 USPQ 334 (CCPA 1978), it is improper for the Office to refuse to examine that which applicants regard as their invention, unless the subject matter in a claim lacks unity of invention, In re Harnish, 631 F.2d 716, 206 USPQ 300 (CCPA 1980); Ex Parte Hozumi, 3 USPQ2d 1059 (Bd. Pat. App. & Int. 1984). Broadly, unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility."

In particular it is noted that the additional sequences (SEQ IDNO's 2, 4-9) within claims 3 and 11 to which all claims are drawn lack unity and a common core structure and thus remain drawn to non-elected inventions. SEQ ID NO:1 is generic to SEQ ID

Application/Control Number: 09/647,457 Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

NO:3. However, the generic sequence is anticipated and noted to contain new matter as set forth herein. None of the other representative sequences is shared with elected SEQ ID NO:3.

11. Claims 7-8 and 13-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. As previously noted SEQ ID NO:1 is not apparently generic to elected SEQ ID NO:3.

Moreover, the dependent claims do not apparently further limit claim 1

Specification

12. The amendments filed 9-16-03 and 1-9-04 are objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: Those changes that are newly drawn to a generic sequence represented as new SEQ ID NO:1 within the sequence listing. The sequence represented is not apparently supported by the specification as originally filed. SEQ ID NO:1 is not described within the specification as it is newly set forth in the sequence listing and does not correlate with the claims.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

13. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

14. Claims 1, 3, 7-8, 11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Applicants present newly claimed generic SEQ ID NO:1 as set forth in claim 1 and the newly submitted sequence listing of 1-9-04. Applicants point to support for the amendments at pp. 3-5 of the specification and within the originally filed claims. However, support for the new recitations as generically recited are not found and moreover the limitations do not apparently correspond. Accordingly the recitations constitute new matter absent evidence for support within the full scope from the specification as originally filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

15. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 16. Claims 1, 3, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedges et al., PNAS 91:2621-24, 1994.

Art Unit: 1647

Hedges et al., teach a sequence of phosphopyruvate hydratase a.k.a. alphaenolase of SEQ ID NO:1, see in particular Hedges et al., PNAS 91:2621-24, 1994, as indicated in the attached alignment with result I50026 Pir 78 database, residues LVVGLCTGQIKTGAPC fitting the formula comprising SEQ ID NO:1 and thus the peptide corresponds to the noted formula and inherently provides the properties noted pertaining to this sequence, i.e., it is capable of binding antibodies found in elevated levels in body fluids of schizophrenic patients and having a cyclic three dimensional structure consisting of hydrophobic core and positively charged extension. Claims 3 and 7 are deemed as comprising as it depends from claim 1 and does not further designate the peptides as consisting of i.e., closed language. Claim 14 is directed to a kit of the same peptide of claim 1. Patentability is distinguished via the peptide content. Thus, the reference teachings anticipate claims 1, 3, 7 and 14.

17. Claims 1, 3, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Byrjalsen et al., WO98/10291, 12 March 1998.

Byrjalsen et al., teach a sequence of phosphopyruvate hydratase a.k.a. alphaenolase comprising SEQ ID NO:1, see in particular SEQ ID NO:9, as indicated in the below attached alignment LVVGLCTGQIKTGAPC fitting the formula comprising SEQ ID NO:1 and thus inherently provides the properties noted of the required sequence, i.e., capable of binding antibodies found in elevated levels in body fluids of schizophrenic patients and having a cyclic three dimensional structure consisting of hydrophobic core and positively charged extension. Claims 3 and 7 are deemed as comprising as it depends from claim 1 and does not further designate the peptides as consisting of i.e.,

closed language. Claim 14 is directed to a kit of the same peptide of claim 1.

Patentability is distinguished via the peptide content. Thus, the reference teachings anticipate claims 1, 3, 7 and 14.

```
RESULT 17
AAW54357
    AAW54357 standard; protein; 433 AA.
XX
AC
     AAW54357;
XX
DT
     14-AUG-1998 (first entry)
XX
DE
    Alpha Enolase.
XX
     Endometrium; hyperplasia; adenocarcinoma; proliferative phase;
KW
     2D gel electrophoresis; detection.
KW
XX
OS
     Homo sapiens.
XX
PN
     WO9810291-A1.
XX
PD
     12-MAR-1998.
XX
     05-SEP-1997; 97WO-GB002394.
PF
XX
PR
     06-SEP-1996;
                    96GB-00018600.
     08-APR-1997; 97GB-00007132.
PR
XX
     (CLIN-) CENT CLINICAL & BASIC RES.
PA
XX
     Byrjalsen I, Larsen P, Fey SJ;
PΙ
XX
     WPI; 1998-207057/18.
DR
XX
     Biochemical markers of human endometrium - useful for, e.g. diagnosis of
PT
PT
     hyperplasia and adenocarcinoma.
XX
PS
     Disclosure; Page 21; 77pp; English.
XX
     Proteins AAW54349-W54364 are examples of proteins produced in the
CC
     endometrium during the hyperplasia, adenocarcinoma or proliferative phase
CC
CC
     of the endometrium. The presence and quantities of these proteins can be
CC
     detected using 2D gel electrophoresis comparison of cell lysates. The
     proteins can be used as biochemical markers to detect the phase of the
CC
     endometrium and can be measured in body fluids, obviating the need for
CC
CC
     endometrial biopsies
XX
SQ
     Sequence 433 AA;
```

Art Unit: 1647

```
Best Local Similarity 81.2%; Pred. No. 0.0029;
Matches 13; Conservative 0; Mismatches 3; Indels 0; Gaps 0;

Qy 12 LVVGLCTXQIKTGXXC 27
||||||| || ||
Db 383 LVVGLCTGQIKTGAPC 398
```

18. Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taylor et al., Experimental and Molecular Pathology, (1985 Apri) 42(2)271-7 as further evidenced via McAleese et al., Eur. J. Biochem., 178:413-41, 1988, see in particular Figure 1 peptide sequence comprising SEQ ID NO:1 "SGETEDTFIADLVVGLCTGQIKTGAPCR".

Taylor teaches cytopathogenic cerebrospinal fluid from neurological and psychiatric patients. In particular, cerebrospinal fluids (CSFs) (samples containing platelet associated antibodies shed from platelets) were examined for the presence of a cytopathogenic component by an in vitro radioimmunoassay, see in particular Methods, pp. 271-2. No abnormal proteins were detected in CSF which produced cytopathic effects. The cytopathic effect was associated with high-molecular-weight material which was resistant to enzyme treatment. The effect persisted after extensive ultraviolet irradiation. The presence of the cytopathic effect was associated with increased CSF enolase levels. Accordingly detection of enolase antigen is recognized as associated with cytopathogenic CSF in schizophrenic patients as compared to controls. Claims 3 and 7 are deemed as comprising as it depends from claim 1 and does not further designate the peptides as consisting of i.e., closed language. Claim 14 is directed to a kit of the same peptide of claim 1. Patentability is distinguished via the peptide content. With respect to claim 8, the references denotes distinction or diagnosis amongst

Art Unit: 1647

schizophrenics with antibody radioimmunoassay distinguishing enolase antigen antibody interaction and notation of increased levels in schizophrenics. As claim 11 depends from claim 8 but does not distinguish the peptides via closed language the claim is interpreted as comprising. Thus, the reference teachings anticipate claims 1, 3, 7, 8 and 14.

Thus, the reference teachings anticipate the claimed invention.

19. Claims 1, 3, 7, 8, 11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Neural tissue hypersensitivity in psychiatric disorders with immunologic features, Jankovic B D, Journal of immunology (1985 Aug) 135 (2 Suppl) 853s-857s as further evidenced via McAleese et al., Eur. J. Biochem., 178:413-41, 1988, see in particular Figure 1 enolase peptide sequence comprising SEQ ID NO:1 "SGETEDTFIADLVVGLCTGQIKTGAPCR".

Jankovic teach a study wherein, "the study population consisted of 1010 in patients (referring to patients suffering from neurological disease including schizophrenia) and 81 control subjects. Patients suffering from schizophrenia, cerebral atrophy of unknown origin, dementia, depression, mental retardation, and ethanolinduced brain deterioration (alcoholics) were skin tested with 25 micrograms of S-100 protein and neuron-specific enolase isolated from fresh human brain. Evaluation of delayed skin hypersensitivity reactions at 24 hr revealed a high incidence of positive responses to S-100 protein: heavy alcoholism, 96.8%; depression, 94.1%; cerebral atrophy, 92.6%; dementia, 91.2%; schizophrenia, 87.7%; and mental retardation, 69.4%. The incidence of positive reactions to neuron-specific enolase in schizophrenics

Art Unit: 1647

was 91.6%. Of 58 control subjects tested with S-100 protein, 6.8% were positive, whereas of 23 normal individuals tested with neuron-specific enolase, 6.4% developed mild skin reactions. These data suggest a close relationship between delayed hypersensitivity to neural tissue antigens and immunopsychiatric diseases, and they imply that cell-mediated immune mechanisms are involved in the pathogenesis of certain mental disorders." As in Table II, NSE is found in greater positivity in delayed type hypersensitivity response upon NSE peptide exposure in schizophrenics as compared to normal controls, 6.4%. As the DTH hypersensitivity test is in vitro the contacting is inclusive of using whole blood sample from patients. The level of binding of the noted samples is in the skin locale of injection of peptide and is accordingly isolated in location. Thus, the reference teachings anticipate the claimed invention.

Status of Claims

20. No claims are allowed.

Allowable Subject Matter

21. Peptides consisting of or comprising SEQ ID NO:3 are free of the prior art of record, as previously noted. Claims directed to such subject matter would be allowable if so presented.

Conclusion

22. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should

applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached at (571) 272-0961.

SHARON L. TURNER, PH.D.
PATENT EXAMINER

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. January 21, 2005